

**APPLICATION
FOR
UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT**

APPLICANT NAME W. D. CALKINS, ET AL

**TITLE SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
 IDENTIFYING INVOICES THAT MAY
 BE DUPLICATE PRIOR TO PAYMENT**

DOCKET NO. END9 2000 0156 US1

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231 as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" on 04/11/01

Mailing Label No. EL598672925US

Name of person mailing paper: Georgia Y. Brundage

Georgia Y. Brundage 4/11/01
Signature Date

**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR IDENTIFYING INVOICES THAT MAY BE
DUPLICATE PRIOR TO PAYMENT**

Background of the Invention

Technical Field of the Invention

5 This invention pertains to processing of invoices for payment. More particularly, it relates to identifying and taking action on duplicate invoices prior to payment.

Background Art

Currently, electronic payment, or enterprise resource planning (ERP), systems have some sort of duplicate check which are limited in scope to a single, native system and to check criteria. There are also companies that specialize in running similar programs and collecting duplicate payments made. There is a need in the art for an improved system and method for comparing activity from multiple systems to identify duplicate invoices prior to payment. This improved system should initiate the detection of duplicate invoices before payment and thus avoid considerable expense.

Typically there are four successively more expensive alternative outcomes of a duplicate payment after the check

has been sent. They are: (1) the check can be returned with a subsequent administrative cost in voiding the transaction; (2) the check can be retained and cashed and a more expensive collection process invoked based on discovery
5 later via an in-house post payment system; (3) an outside audit firm can discover the duplicate invoice which incurs the preceding expense plus a finders fee to the firm; and (4) the duplicate payment can be lost in its entirety.

In some, particularly large, enterprises, multiple accounts payable (A/P) systems may be consolidated at a headquarters level. As a result, the purchasing function of the enterprise may purchase goods on different systems from the same vendor. Therefore it is possible, and occasionally occurs, that the same invoice is submitted for payment to
10 more than one system. There is a need in the art to detect such duplicate invoices.

It is an object of the invention to provide and improved method and system for identifying duplicate
20 invoices prior to payment.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a system and method for identifying prior to payment duplicate invoices from activity on multiple systems.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a system and method periodically executing a plurality of coordinated logic processes comparing current activity against historical activity from a plurality of systems for identifying duplicate invoices prior to payment.

Summary of the Invention

A system and method for capturing packets of possible duplicate invoices for duplicate invoice analysis, the method comprising the steps of maintaining a collection of current invoices that have not yet been paid; maintaining a collection of history invoices that have been paid; and generating from the current invoices and history invoices a packet of invoices exhibiting a same behavior, the packet including at least one invoice from said collection of current invoices.

A system and method for identifying duplicate invoices among multiple systems, the method including the steps of loading first invoices having an index number into a database during a first predetermined time period; for each invoice having said index number, searching said database

for another invoice, loaded during a second earlier time period, having the same index number and replacing said another invoice, if found, with said first invoice; comparing each first invoice for which no matching index 5 number invoice was found with all other first invoices for which no matching index number invoice was found; comparing each of said first invoices for which no matching index number invoice was found with all the other invoices including the replaced invoices in said database; generating 10 reports of the comparing steps, the reports listing invoices which compared; and eliminating from said database said first invoices deemed to have compared.

In accordance with an aspect of the invention, there is provided a computer program product configured to be 15 operable to identify duplicate invoices among multiple systems.

Other features and advantages of this invention will become apparent from the following detailed description of the presently preferred embodiment of the invention, taken 20 in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a system diagram illustrating the various components of the system of the invention.

5 Figure 2 is a flow chart illustrating the method steps of the preferred embodiment of the invention.

Figure 3 is a chart illustrating the code modules implementing a preferred embodiment of the invention.

Figure 4 is a diagram illustrating the format of a typical invoice record.

10 Figure 5 is a diagram illustrating the duplicate report matrix of an exemplary embodiment of the invention.

Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention

Referring to Figure 1, the duplicate invoice system of
15 the preferred embodiment of the invention includes the following component parts: ledger and extract reports 100,

including those for several divisions, groups, and corporate entities of an exemplary enterprise; these are Server Division (SVR) 102, General Procurement Invoicing (invoice entry program) (GPI) 104, Personal Services Group (PSG) 106, 5 Storage Systems Division (SSD) 107; Systems, Applications, Products in Data Processing (SAP) 110, SAP Common Accounts Payable System (SAP/CAPS) 112, MVS load program 114, relational database management system (RDMS) CAPS load program record count 116, Business Data Warehouse (BDW) 118, 10 PL1 datecard program with extract timestamps 122, SAS query program 124, daily query output 126, SAS database (DBS) file maintenance program 130, SAS DBS 132, SAS reports 136, and RECON report 128.

15 Reports 100 are control reports that get generated as the result of the daily jobs finishing SAP 110. Each SAP instance (SVR, GP1, PSG, SSD, etc.) closes out its daily function and creates an extract control report 102, 104, 106, 107, respectively. This report is used in the reconciliation to BDW 118. The same control report is used 20 to reconcile what's in SAS DBS 132.

Each SAP instance processes as follows, using GP1 as an example. GP1 closes out its daily activity. The ledger runs successfully, generating the control report 104. As a

result of ledger's successful completion, the extract of
that data is sent, via flat file format, to the system
support data center 112. These flat files contain both
header and trailer records for use by MVS load program 114
5 and PL1 datecard program 120. MVS load program 114 loads
the GP1 flat file into BDW 118, while counting all of the
records and adding all of the amounts to compare against the
header and trailer records. If there isn't any variance,
BDW 118 is loaded and the MVS load program 114 will then
10 output the RDMS CAPS reports 116. RDMS reports are
available for all data that is loaded into BDW 118. At this
point, all of the daily data is found in BDW 118. After all
data from all regions has been loaded into BDW 118, the PL1
datecard program 120 starts by looking in all of the flat
15 files that were sent from the various systems and grabs the
extract time stamp off of the header record, and places it
in the datacard dataset 122. With the datacard 122 being
complete, the SAS query program 124 runs. It uses the
datacard dataset 122 to apply the applicable extract
timestamp to the query for each region. This is the way in
which the application can recognize the "new or changed"
records in BDW 118. The object here is to re-extract all of
the new or changed records to update the SAS DBS 132. Or
more simply, capture all of the records that the source
20 systems and sent to BDW 118. Once the SAS query program 124
systems and sent to BDW 118. Once the SAS query program 124
25

completes, it will then run the query, emptying the results into another flat file daily query output 126. Thereupon SAS DBS file maintenance program 130 starts. First it cleanses the database of all records having a pay date of 18 months or more. Second, it takes the data from daily query output 126 and identifies certain things, like datelike. Third, it counts all of the records that it has added, whether they are new or not. These are the records that are deemed current. This is important as the SAS reports 136 only look for matches when at least one record is current.

At this point, the SAS DBS 132 has been updated and is current. Recon Report 128 runs next, looking at the SAS DBS 132, counting and adding the records that have just been added, that were there, and also records that have been deleted. This will be used by the duplicate invoice processing team to reconfirm with reports 100, 102, 104, 106 and 107 as a control. Finally, as will be described hereafter in connection with Figure 3, the six SAS reports programs 136 run, including Dup1 186, Dup2 188, Dup3 190, Dup4 192, Dup5 194, and Dup6 196.

By way of recapitulation, SAP 110 takes a query and modifies it by inputting the correct date in order to retrieve all of the "net change" records. This is an important part of the control process hereafter described,

and enables the SAS database 132 to mirror the production BDW 118 in regards to records and dollar amounts.

SAS query program 124 builds a BDW query dynamically that is date dependent. This query is passed to BDW 118.

5 SAS DBS file maintenance program 130 resets the prior current invoices to history and loads the newest batch of current invoices.

Recon report 128 ties the summary totals back to other systems for audit and reconciliation purposes.

10 SAS reports 136 are six reports that provide an expert system type analysis of the invoice file and applies different logic as documented in Figure 3.

In accordance with the preferred embodiment of the invention, a method and system is provided for providing data that can be used to evaluate two or more invoiced documents for further investigation of possible duplicate invoicing. Further, a compact database is maintained by removing canceled invoicing and invoicing older than some predetermined period, such as 18 months. In accordance with this method, data is extracted from a database by a compare

routine which matches on suppliers invoice, name, date and amount to produce a report that can be used for further investigation of duplicate invoicing.

In accordance with the system and method of the
5 preferred embodiment of the invention, the invoice data being examined for duplicate invoices includes all invoices recently processed through the system. Since, typically, almost all of the transactions are first entered onto the system, then paid at a later date, the system captures
10 payment before it leaves the company.

Referring to Figure 2, in accordance with the method of the preferred embodiment of the invention, in step 150 invoices are removed from consideration based upon an expert criteria. The expert criteria may be a rule such as:
15 "Remove the invoices whose invoice date is more than 18 months old." The set of invoices from which selected invoices are removed in step 150 (to form the set "all remaining invoices" referred to in step 152) includes all invoices from all systems, including historical and current
20 invoices.

A packet is a collection of invoices that exhibit the same behavior. A simple packet example may be described by

"select for an investigative packet those invoices which have common invoice dates and invoice amounts". A packet must also have at least one invoice that is current. A current invoice is an invoice from the previous processing period (that has not yet been paid) as distinguished from history invoices (which have been paid). A packet may have both multiple invoices from the current invoices and from the history invoices.

The relevant contents of a possible packet are documented in Figure 4. Examples of two packets based on the above selection criteria are set forth in Table 1.

Table 1: Packet Examples

		<u>Inv_Amt</u>	<u>Inv_Dat</u>	<u>Ven_Nam</u>	Type
15	Packet #12304	123.99	01/14/2001	Able Co.	C
		123.99	01/14/2001	Able Co.	H
		123.99	01/14/2001	Able Inc.	H
		123.99	01/14/2001	Bondo Div	H
	Packet #12305	25.00	09/12/2000	Chip Inc.	C
		25.00	09/12/2000	Chip Co.	H

20 Fields that are critical to the inquiry, with the exception of the VenNam, field are displayed in Table 1. The analyst investigating these packets will use the additional information and other resources to ascertain if the invoice

set does indeed contain duplicates.

In step 152, multiple packets of invoices which may be duplicates are selected from all remaining invoices based on expert criteria. This criteria may be a set of rules, such as: select to a packet all invoices for the same dollar amount that are paid to the same vendor where the vendor name is compared for only the first four characters. The same criteria generates all the packets for a particular report 136.

10 In step 154, packets which do not meet expert criteria are dropped from further consideration. This criteria may be another set of rules, such as: drop the packet if it does not have date-like invoice numbers (e.g., 102098) in at least some of the invoices in it.

15 In step 156, individual invoices are dropped from packets based on expert criteria. Step 156 flags the invoices in a packet against each other. For example, if a transposition of two digits would cause two invoice numbers to be identical, then a flag would be set for each of these 20 two invoices in the packet. After all of the criteria have been applied to all of the possible invoice combinations, the packet is then pruned by dropping those invoices for

which no flags were set. These packets typically have a large number of candidate invoices and exclusion based on the flags reduces the packets to manageable sizes. These flags are criteria, such as:

5 Flag 1 Transposed digits in invoice number 202. Example,
 123456 vs. 123546.

Flag 2 Date like invoice number 202 for same four character vendor name 216, with each invoice being scored for this. Example, 19980311 as entry.

10 Flag 3 Match on invoice number 202. Example, 123456 vs.
 123456.

Flag 4 Match on invoice number 202, except for a prefix or suffix character for same four character vendor name 216. Examples, 123456A vs. 123456, or
R123456 vs 123456.

15 Flag 5 Lengths of invoice numbers 202 differ for same four character vendor name 216. Example, 123456 vs 9123456.

Flag 6 Match on invoice numbers 202 while ignoring

embedded blanks. Example, "ABC DEF" vs "ABCDEF".

In step 158, packets which contain no current invoices are dropped from further consideration. Current invoices are those which are under investigation, and history
5 invoices are those which have been previously received.

Step 150 removed invoices from the entire invoice file based on general global criteria (such as, invoices for \$0.01.)

This step 158 removes packets if there is no current
10 invoices in it. Each packet is typically a small subset of the entire invoice file. In accordance with an alternative embodiment of the invention, all invoices are forced to be current. This results in all possible duplicates throughout the history being reported on. This would be done each month or quarter, generally not on a daily basis, as the
15 resulting reports would be large. Similarly, all data is considered "new" or "current" when the system generated invoice number is not found in the SAS database 132.

In step 160, packets containing less than two remaining invoices are dropped.

20 In step 162, all remaining packets are reported.

Production data warehouse BDW 118 contains data from
END9 2000 0156 US1

several different systems associated with reports 100, such
as the SAS systems GPI 104, SVR 102, SSD 107 and legacy
systems PSG 106, etc. Each systems' data is extracted and
loaded into the BDW 118 at different times. Thus, the SAS
5 generated query 117 is dynamically generated for each
system, as the date and time stamp will be different.

In an exemplary embodiment of the invention, each
report program DUP1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 goes through all of the
steps 150-162 shown in Figure 2, and executes in SAS reports
10 136. DUPREP 180 executes in RECON REPORT 128, and DUPPAY
184 executes in maintenance program 130.

Referring to Figure 3, a preferred embodiment of the
invention includes program code duprep 180, dupstart 182,
dppay 184, dup1 186, dup2 188, dup3 190, dup4 192, dup5
15 194, and dup6 196.

In overview, the programming code of Figure 3 executes
a method for identifying duplicate invoices among multiple
systems according to the following steps: (1) loading first
invoices having an index number into a database during a
20 first predetermined time period; (2) for each invoice having
said index number, searching said database for another
invoice, loaded during a second earlier time period, having
END9 2000 0156 US1

the same index number and replacing said another invoice, if found, with said first invoice; (3) comparing each first invoice for which no matching index number invoice was found with all other first invoices for which no matching index 5 number invoice was found; (4) comparing each of said first invoices for which no matching index number invoice was found with all the other invoices including the replaced invoices in said database; (5) generating reports of the comparing steps, the reports listing invoices which 10 compared; and (6) eliminating from said database said first invoices deemed to have compared.

Dup1 186 captures packets having the same vendor and invoice numbers. For example, it captures packets for duplicate invoice analysis where the invoice was received 15 for payment or, alternatively, paid last night (by "last night" is meant last night or some similar immediately preceding period of time.) Each packet contains invoices having identical vendor numbers and identical invoice numbers when compared to invoices from the last night or the 20 history file.

This analysis is done prior to payment, and is an important part of the cost avoidance. All data is pulled into SAS database 132 when it first appears in BDW 118.

This could mean that a record could show up as new AND paid (emergency payments), but this application will still consider it as new. Again, almost all (say, 99%) invoice transactions are entered several days before a payment will 5 be generated, and this allows the process to effectively locate possible duplicate invoices prior to payment.

Expert criteria, as used herein, includes the application of duplicate payment analyst's knowledge to add various rules that reduce the volume of invoices considered 10 and enhance the probability for discovery of duplication for the remaining invoices. These criteria are generally system dependent. For example, if (DOC_TYPE= 'K' and ECR_NUM not = 'OD') then delete these invoices. However, they may be generally applicable. Example, delete invoices that are 15 \$.00 or \$.01). The rules may be applied at the global level across all invoices or at the packet level to cull out invoices from a particular packet.

Input to dup1 186 includes files of current and historical invoices from one or a plurality systems. Referring to Figure 4, each invoice may include fields for identifying vendor number VEN_NUM 200, invoice number INV_NUM 202, invoice amount INV_AMT 204, invoice identifier INV_ID 206, purchase order number PO_ID 208, check date 20 END9 2000 0156 US1

CHK_DT 210, check number CHK_NO 212, invoice date INV_DT 214, vendor name VEN_NAM 216, electronic check request number (ECR#) ECR_NUM 218, document TYPE 220, terms TERMS 222, and TYPE 236.

5 DOC_TYP 220 is a field set by SAP 110 to contain a unique number sequentially assigned to invoices as they are processed.

10 TYPE 236 is a field set by SAS 130 to distinguish current invoices from history invoices; for example, to contain H for invoices already processed in a previous time period, and C for invoices processed in the current (or immediately preceding) time period, typically the day before preparation of this report.

15 In accordance with an exemplary embodiment, dup1 186 executes the following steps:

1. Deleting invoices from consideration if

- Type is 'K' and ECR_NUM is not 'OD', or
- Type is 'RS'

2. Sorting invoices in a packet by VEN_NUM, INV_NUM AND INV_AMT.
3. Flushing packets containing only one invoice.
4. Marking packets that have different invoice dates or amounts with reference to the first check (that is, first invoice) in the packet.
5. Reporting last payments compared to payment history for a two point match on vendor number and invoice number when the invoice date or invoice amount are different.
- 10 Dup2 188 captures packets having similar vendor names and the same invoice amount. For example, it captures packets for duplicate invoice analysis where the invoice was paid last night and that have identical vendor names (first N, such as four, characters only) and identical invoice amounts when compared to invoices from the last night or the history file. Packets are dropped where the invoice date is different, and invoices are dropped for which the invoice number is not datelike. The invoice number field defaults to the date the ECR was entered when the submitter does not enter an invoice number. Dup2 contains logic to bring in most of the duplicates between ECR to ECR activity.
- 15
- 20

In accordance with an exemplary embodiment, Dup2 188 executes the following steps:

1. Dropping invoices older than, say, nine months.
2. Deleting invoices from consideration if
 - Type is 'K' and ECR_NUM is not 'OD', or
 - Type is 'RS'
3. Capturing packets that have a check from last night and have identical vendor names and invoice amounts.
4. Marking packets that have different invoice dates (with reference to the first check in the packet).
5. Keeping all invoices in a packet if one of the current invoices is datelike.
6. Dropping type H invoices that are not datelike where all of the current invoices are not datelike.
7. Reporting last payments compared to payment history for two point match on four character vendor name and invoice amount when some invoice dates are different

and at least one invoice number is datelike while excluding invoices with check dates older than nine months.

Dup3 190 captures packets having similar invoice dates
5 and amounts, differing on one of several flagged conditions.
That is, dup3 190 captures packets for duplicate invoice
analysis where the invoice was paid last night and that have
identical invoice dates and identical invoice amounts when
compared to invoices from the history file. Invoices are
10 dropped if one of the flags does not apply. Referring to
Figure 5, the flags are:

Flag 1: transposed digits in invoice number 202.

Flag 2: datelike invoice number 202 for same four
character vendor name 216.

15 Flag 3: match on invoice number 202.

Flag 4: match on invoice number 202 except for a
prefix/suffix character for same four
character vendor name 216.

Flag 5: lengths of invoice number 202 differ for same
20 four character vendor name 216.

Flag 6: match on invoice numbers 202 while ignoring
embedded blanks.

In accordance with an exemplary embodiment, dup3 190 executes the following steps.

1. Sorting invoices by INV_DT 214, INV_AMT 204, TYPE 226, VEN_NAM 216 and CHK_DT 210.

5 2. Capturing packets that have a check from last night and have identical invoice dates and amounts.

3. Marking packets with appropriate flags (Flag 1 through Flag 6, above), including:

- 10
- Outputting flag conditions applicable to this packet, and
 - Merging the flags back to the correct invoices.

4. Reporting two point match on invoice date and invoice amount where invoices in a packet (invoice paid last night compared to all other invoice numbers in packet) are flagged with at least one of Flag 1 through Flag 6.

Dup4 192 captures packets having the same invoice amount and numbers, but not the same date and vendor name. That is, it captures packets for duplicate invoice analysis where the invoice was paid last night and that have

identical invoice amounts and identical invoice numbers when compared to other invoices from the last night or the history file. Packets are dropped if (the invoice dates are identical and the four character vendor names are
5 identical.)

In accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the invention, dup4 192 executes the following steps.

1. Deleting invoices for which document type 220 is 'K' and ECR number 218 is not 'OD', or if document type 220 is 'RS'. 'K' is the first digit in a batch type payment, 'OD' are the first two digits of an electronic check request number (ECR#), and 'RS' is the document type for internal invoicing.
10
2. Sorting invoices in the history file by invoice amount 204, invoice number 202, type 236, and vendor name 216.
15
3. Deleting packets having only one invoice.
4. Marking packets containing invoices having different invoice dates or vendor names with reference to the first check in the packet.

The test for packet creation is different for each report and this equality comparison is inherent in the definition of each packet. An invoice may appear on multiple reports.

- 5 Dup1 has identical invoice numbers and vendor numbers.
- Dup2 has identical vendor names and invoice amounts.
- Dup3 has identical invoice dates and invoice amounts.
- Dup4 has identical invoice amounts and invoice numbers.
- Dup5 has identical invoice numbers and vendor names.
- 10 Dup6 has identical invoice numbers, vendor names and invoice amounts.

- 5. Reporting last payments compared to payment history, a two point match on invoice amount and invoice number where vendor number and invoice date are different.
- 15 Packets are dropped if (the invoice dates are identical and the four character vendor names are identical.)

Referring to Figure 1, the history file and current file are in SAS DBS 132.

- 20 Dup5 194 captures packets having the same invoice number 202 and vendor name 215, but not the same vendor number 200 and invoice amount 204. Thus, it captures

END9 2000 0156 US1

24

packets for duplicate invoice analysis for all invoices on
the history file that have identical invoice numbers and
identical vendor names (first four characters). Packets are
kept only if the vendor number 202 and the invoice amount
5 204 are different.

In accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the
invention, dup5 194 executes the following steps.

1. Deleting invoices for which document type 220 is 'K'
and ECR number 218 is not 'OD', or if document type 220
is 'RS'.
10
2. Sorting the history file by INV_NUM 202, VEN_NAM4 216,
TYPE 236 and INV_AMT 204.
3. Capturing packets that have identical invoice numbers
202 and identical first four characters of the vendor
name 216.
15
4. Flushing packets for which there is only one invoice
entry.
5. Marking packets that, with reference to the first check
in the packet, have different vendor numbers 216 and

invoice amounts 204.

6. Reporting payment history analysis for this two point
match on the four character vendor name 216 and invoice
number 202 for different vendor numbers 200 and invoice
5 amounts 204, keeping packets only if the vendor number
200 and the invoice amount 204 are different.

Dup6 196 captures packets having the same vendor number
and the same invoice number and amount. That is, it
captures packets for duplicate invoice analysis where the
10 invoice was paid last night and that have identical vendor
numbers 202, identical invoice numbers 202, and identical
invoice amounts 204 when compared to invoices from the last
night or the history file. The packet is kept when the
amounts 204 are the same and the invoice dates 214 are
15 different.

In accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the
invention, dup6 196 executes the following steps.

1. Deleting invoices for which document type 220 is 'K'
and ECR number 218 is not 'OD', or if document type 220
20 is 'RS'.

2. Sorting history file by VEN_NUM 216, INV_NUM 202,
INV_AMT 204 and TYPE 236. (Note change in sort order
from dup1 186.)
3. Deleting packets containing only one invoice entry.
- 5 4. Marking packets for retention that have different
invoice dates 214 or amounts 204, with reference to the
first check in the packet. (Note change from dup1 186,
which also checks invoice amount 204 at this point.)
- 10 5. Reporting last payments compared to payment history, a
two point match on vendor number and invoice number
when the invoice date is different and the invoice
amount is the same.
- Duppay 184 maintains the duplicate invoice detection
file and SAS database 132. This code reads the new and
15 changed invoices as extracted from the BDW 118 and adds or
updates records as appropriate. One update pass is made
through the duplicate payment invoice detection historical
data as maintained on the history SAS data base to apply the
new and changed invoices from daily activity as captured on
20 the current SAS data base.

The input file is CURRIN, the daily invoices from BDW supplied as a flat file and containing both new and updated invoices.

5 The output SAS database file is HISTORY, the updated invoice history file.

The following SAS database files are logically temporary, are rebuilt each day, and are used as input to the various reports dup1-dup6 180-196, respectively:

10 REPORT updated invoice history file least the type
 'X' invoices.
15 CURR daily invoices.
 NEW_INV only the new invoices from the daily
 invoices.
 DELETE the invoices which have aged off the history
 file.

These database files are included within SAS DBS 132.

In accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the invention, duppay 184 executes the following steps.

1. Resetting TYPE field 236 to 'H', 'C', or 'X', as

END9 2000 0156 US1

28

appropriate, where:

'H' (history) implies that the invoice is an old one.

'C' (current) implies that the invoice is a new one.

'X' implies that the invoice has been logically

5 deleted using cancel dates other than 2222-02-02
or 2099-01-01.

Logically deleted invoices are retained on the history
SAS data base.

10 2. Dropping invoices from the history SAS database 132 if
the check date 210 indicates the invoice is older than,
say, 18 months.

Duppay 184 logic for applying new and changed invoices
captured in the current SAS database to the history file
executes the following steps.

15 3. For daily activity invoices, setting the variable
DATELIKE 234 to 'Y' when the invoice number 200 is
datelike, else setting it to 'N'.

4. Calculating the invoice length INV_LEN variable 232 for
daily activity invoices.

5. Updating an existing invoice on the history database from current CURR if there is a match on SYSTEM 228 (i.e., region) and INV_ID 206 as found on the history and current databases.

5 6. Adding an invoice to the history database if there is not a match on SYSTEM and INV_ID 206.

After the updates have been applied to the history file, the following logic is performed.

- 10 7. Setting type 236 to 'X' for invoices with cancel date CANCELDT 226 not set to '2099-01-01' or '2222-02-22' to indicate that these are canceled invoices that are not active and therefore should not be considered in the various duplicate invoice reports.
- 15 8. Removing from the history file invoices that are older than 18 months, as indicated by check date CHK_DT 210.

Duprep 180 generates reconciliation reports 128 for the duplicate invoice payment system. This code summarizes the count and amount for the following invoice classes:

- (1) daily activity invoices

- (2) daily activity invoices that are new
- (3) invoices that have aged off the HISTORY data base
- (4) invoices that are on the HISTORY data base

The input SAS database files are:

5 HISTORY

Input SAS data base Files: The following SAS data bases are logically temporary and are rebuilt each day. They are used as input to the various reports.

REPORT updated invoice history file less the type X
10 invoices. This is used by the daily dup
 invoice report programs report1 through 6
CURR Daily activity file
NEW_INV Daily activity file with only the new
 invoices
15 DELETES Invoices removed from HISTORY.

The reconciliation Report 128 types are:

SAS data base used Title

IBMAP.CURR Daily Summary Reconciliation

IBMAP.NEW_INV	Daily New Invoice Reconciliation
IBMAP.DELETES	Daily Deletion Reconciliation
IBMAP.HISTORY	Historical Data Base Summary

5 In accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the invention, duprep 180 executes the following steps.

1. Sorting invoices by COUNTRY 224, SYSTEM 228, Type 236.

2. Outputting daily summary reconciliation, daily new invoice reconciliation, daily deletion reconciliation, and historical database summary report.

10 Dupstart 182 does the initial load for the duplicate payment invoice detection file. This code reads the invoice history as extracted from the BWD 118, which is a one time run intended for startup or reinitialization purposes.

15 In accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the invention, dupstart 182 executes the following steps for all invoices.

1. Setting the variable DATELIKE 234 to 'Y' when the invoice number 202 is datelike, else setting it to 'N'.

2. Setting the type field 236 to 'H'.

The input is a complete invoice history file, and the output is the SAS updated invoice history database file HISTORY.

5 Referring to Figure 5, a duplicate report matrix illustrates the invoices selected for output for each of the reports dup1 through dup6. In Figure 5, an 'X' signifies the selection of those invoices which compare equal on the applicable criteria 216, 200, 202, 214, and 204,
10 respectively. An 'O' signifies the selection of those invoices which do not compare equal. Every flag except for dup3 match on the first four characters of the vendor name. Dup1 requires that either the date or the amount needs to be different.

15 **Advantages over the Prior Art**

It is an advantage of the invention that there is provided an improved method and system for identifying duplicate invoices prior to payment.

It is an advantage of the invention that there is provided a system and method for identifying prior to payment duplicate invoices from activity on multiple systems.

5 It is an advantage of the invention that there is provided a system and method periodically executing a plurality of coordinated logic processes comparing current activity against historical activity from a plurality of systems for identifying duplicate invoices prior to payment.

10 **Alternative Embodiments**

It will be appreciated that, although specific embodiments of the invention have been described herein for purposes of illustration, various modifications may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. In particular, it is within the scope of the invention to provide a computer program product or program element, or a program storage or memory device such as a solid or fluid transmission medium, magnetic or optical wire, tape or disc, or the like, for storing signals readable by a machine, for controlling the operation of a

computer according to the method of the invention and/or to structure its components in accordance with the system of the invention.

5 Further, each step of the method may be executed on any general computer, such as an IBM System 390, AS/400, PC or the like and pursuant to one or more, or a part of one or more, program elements, modules or objects generated from any programming language, such as C++, Java, Pl/I, Fortran or the like. And still further, each said step, or a file or object or the like implementing each said step, may be executed by special purpose hardware or a circuit module designed for that purpose.

15 While the preferred embodiment of the invention has been described with respect to identifying invoice records, other types of records may be similarly processed. Consequently, the term "invoice" is intended to encompass other types of records, such as those documenting requests made of an enterprise for payment, credit, goods, services, 20 and so forth.

Accordingly, the scope of protection of this invention is limited only by the following claims and their equivalents.